

Re: Exemption From HartScott-Rodino Pre-Merger Notification Requirements

The seven manufacturing warehouse projects

Dear Mr. Scanlon:

Responsive to our telephone conversation of Friday, February 14, we are pleased to supplement our letter of February 11, 1986 with the following information:

located in the States of California, Tennessee, Indiana, Illinois, Maryland and Missouri are occupied by either utilize the warehouse properties for the operation of their respective businesses. The only relationship between the Partnership and these warehouse projects will be that of a lessor of real property.

2. Upon the completion of the Exchange Transaction, the Partnership (like its predecessors-in-interest) will not be engaged in any manufacturing business nor the operation of any warehouse business.

This material may be nutlect to of the confidentiality provision of the Clayton Act Section 7A (h) of the Clayton willer the which restricts restricts and lot the Freedom of Indexation Lot

Mr. Andrew Scanlon Federal Trade Commission February 14, 1986 Page Two

We understand that unless we hear from you to the contrary after your receipt of this letter, the Partnership may proceed with the Exchange Transaction without any obligation of any kind to file a pre-merger notification under the Hart-Scott-Rodino Act.

We greatly appreciate your expeditious consideration of this matter.



